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#### Abstract

Curcumin and its derivatives have been extensively studied for their remarkable medicinal properties, and their chemical synthesis has been an important step in the optimization of well-controlled laboratory production. A family of new compounds that mimic the structure of curcumin and curcuminoids, here named retro-curcuminoids (7-14), was synthesized and characterized using $1 \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{IR}$, and mass spectrometry; the X-ray structure of 7 , $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, 13$, and 14 are reported here for the first time. The main structural feature of these compounds is the reverse linkage of the two aromatic moieties, where the acid chloride moiety is linked to the phenolic group while preserving $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone functionality. The cytotoxic screening of $7,8,9$, and 10 at 50 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ was carried out with human cancer cell lines K562, MCF-7, and SKLU-1. Lipid peroxidation on rat brain was also tested for compounds 7 and 10. Compounds 7, 8, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed relevant cytotoxic activity against these cancer cell lines, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed a protective effect against lipid peroxidation. The molecular resemblance to curcuminoids and analogs with ortho substituents suggests a potential source of useful bioactive compounds.
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## 1. Introduction

Curcumin (diferuloylmethane), a natural product used in traditional medicine, has been extensively studied for its multiple pharmacological effects on biological systems, to understand its chemical properties, and to improve its poor bioavailability [1]. A large number of studies have described the multiple beneficial medicinal properties from different points of view [2]. Curcumin has been used as a naturally-occurring resource for the treatment of numerous inflammatory diseases, and studies have confirmed that it possesses antiproliferative activity against several tumor cells in vitro [3]. Previous reports have described curcumin as a potent antioxidant with free radical scavenging activity [4] and a well-described therapeutic agent against Alzheimer disease [5,6] or recently as a molecular probe in the diagnostic imaging technique near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF),
which is useful in the monitoring of amyloid beta species on Alzheimer patients [7]. Moreover, several chemical analogs, derivatives, and precursors of curcumin, both natural and synthetic, have shown such activity and also action against inflammatory diseases $[8,9]$.

In 1973, Roughley and Whiting [10] reported the instability of curcumin in alkaline medium and explained its alkaline degradation. Later, Sardjiman and co-workers [11] reported that at pH higher than 6.5, curcumin decomposes into several molecular fragments, mainly ferulic acid and dehydrozingerone (DHZ), as shown in Scheme 1.


Scheme 1. Degradation products of curcumin.

Ferulic acid, a precursor of the biosynthesis of curcumin, has been described as a compound with antitumoral, chemo-preventive, and photo-protective biological properties [12]. DHZ is a phenolic compound structurally related to biosynthesis or degradation of curcumin and is present as a minor component in the rhizomes of Zingiber officinale Roscoe (ginger). In numerous studies, DHZ has been shown to have radioprotective, antimicrobial, pro-healing, antioxidant, anti-Parkinson, anti-Alzheimer, antimutagenic, antitumor, anti-cancer, anti-depressant, anti-platelet, and antidiarrheal pharmacological activities [13-16].

Ferulic acid and DHZ are versatile chemical intermediates in organic synthesis with several bonding possibilities. We, therefore, decided to explore a modified linkage of such moieties involving the bond of the phenolic group of DHZ and related compounds, for example, acetylated ferulic acid [(E)-4-(3-chloro-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)-2-methoxyphenyl acetate)], via the acid chloride derivative of the carboxylic functionalities and the corresponding phenolic group of the second moiety. The synthesis of what we call retro-curcuminoids was achieved by reaction of the corresponding acid chlorides with the appropriate phenolic moieties. We also explored the inhibition of lipoperoxidation and cytotoxic activity of the new compounds.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Structural Features

Retro-curcuminoids 7-14 were prepared by esterification of cinnamoyl chloride (1) or (E)-4-(3-chloro-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)-2-methoxyphenyl acetate (2) with DHZ [(E)-4-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl) but-3-en-2-one] (6), and DHZ analogs (E)-4-(2-hydroxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-one, ( $E$ )-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl) but-3-en-2-one, and (E)-4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-one (3, 4, and 5), with ortho, meta and para hydroxyl substituents, respectively, as shown in Scheme 2.


Scheme 2. General synthesis.

### 2.2. Crystallography

The molecular structures of all seven retro-curcuminoids are formed by two aromatic rings (phenyl-C-; phenyl-O-) connected by a moiety C(sp2)-C(sp2)-C(sp2)-C(sp2)-O(sp3)-C(sp2) chain of atoms (Figure 1).
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Figure 1. View of the molecular structure of compounds $\mathbf{7 , 8}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, 13$, and 14.

The distance between the centers of gravity of phenyl Cg rings in structures $\mathbf{7 , 8}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}$, and 14 remain constant independent of the type and location of ring substituents. These values are $8.795 \AA$, $8.807 \AA, 8.795 \AA, 8.794 \AA, 8.830 \AA, 8.747 \AA$, and $8.400 \AA$. Table 1 shows the crystal data, collection parameters and refinements for compounds $\mathbf{7 , 8}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, 13$ and 14.

The crystal packing of the molecules in the crystal structures is stabilized by van der Waals interactions and linked by intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \bullet \bullet \bullet \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen interactions. The adjacent molecules are interconnected by intermolecular weak C-H $\bullet \bullet \bullet \pi$ interactions (from different Cg centers of gravity), which give additional support to molecular packing stability. Table 2 shows the intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \bullet \bullet \bullet \mathrm{O}$ interactions in all seven crystal structures.

Table 1. Crystal data, collection parameters, and refinements for compounds 7-10 and 12-14.

| Compound | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCDC deposit No. | 1452007 | 1451557 | 1451621 | 1451555 | 1451617 | 1451556 | 1056387 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.37 \times 0.05 \times 0.03$ | $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.09$ | $0.39 \times 0.19 \times 0.13$ | $0.37 \times 0.11 \times 0.11$ | $0.31 \times 0.12 \times 0.05$ | $0.35 \times 0.21 \times 0.15$ | Not determined |
| Color/shape | Light green/needles | Light yellow/needles | Colorless/prism | Light green/needles | Colorless/prism | Light yellow/needles | Colorless/prism |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| Formula weight | 292.32 | 292.32 | 292.32 | 380.38 | 380.38 | 322.34 | 410.40 |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} 2_{1}{ }^{1}$ | P $21 / \mathrm{c}$ | P $21 / \mathrm{c}$ | P $21 / \mathrm{c}$ | P $21 / \mathrm{c}$ | $P-1$ | P $21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a, \AA$ | 5.7012(2) | 33.3788(14) | 19.2677(6) | 6.07840(10) | 22.6222(13) | 7.9343(10) | 5.9945(4) |
| $b, \AA$ | 15.1134 | 5.7488(2) | 4.10240(10) | 17.3377(4) | 7.6843(4) | 10.0440(14) | 36.2260(19) |
| c, Å | 18.1035 | 8.0128(4) | 21.2526(6) | 18.3150(5) | 10.9077(7) | 11.9990(16) | $9.6239(8)$ |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 70.652(4) | 90.00 |
| $\beta$, deg | 90.00 | 95.736(2) | 115.0910(10) | 90.5980(10) | 95.314(2) | 71.023(4) | 91.083(6) |
| $\gamma, \mathrm{deg}$ | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 89.865(5) | 90.00 |
| Volume, $\AA^{3}$ | 1559.88(10) | 1529.86(11) | 1521.36 | 1930.03(8) | 1888.00(19) | 847.2(2) | 2089.5(2) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Density (calculated), $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1.245 | 1.269 | 1.276 | 1.309 | 1.338 | 1.264 | 1.305 |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.084 | 0.085 | 0.086 | 0.095 | 0.098 | 0.088 | 0.806 |
| $F(000)$ | 616 | 616 | 616 | 800 | 800 | 340 | 864 |
| $\Theta$ range for data collection ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 2.25 to 25.39 | 2.45 to 25.31 | 1.94 to 25.40 | 2.22 to 25.50 | 2.71 to 25.32 | 2.16 to25.33 | Not determined |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -6 \leq \eta \leq 6,-17 \leq \kappa \leq 17, \\ -21 \leq \lambda \leq 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -39 \leq \eta \leq 39,-5 \leq \kappa \leq 5, \\ -9 \leq \lambda \leq 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -23 \leq \eta \leq 23,-4 \leq \kappa \leq 4, \\ -25 \leq \lambda \leq 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7 \leq h \leq 7,-20 \leq k \leq 20, \\ -22 \leq l \leq 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -27 \leq h \leq 27,-9 \leq k \leq 9, \\ -13 \leq l \leq 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -9 \leq h \leq 9,-12 \leq k \leq 12, \\ -14 \leq l \leq 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7 \leq h \leq 6,-44 \leq k \leq 44, \\ -11 \leq l \leq 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 13,670 | 20,778 | 16,473 | 26,381 | 22,547 | 12,126 | 20,379 |
| Independent reflections | 2857 ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.1503$ ) | $2764\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.1323\right)$ | $2781\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0559\right)$ | 3585 ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0925$ ) | 3433 ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.1724$ ) | 3065 ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0631$ ) | 4128 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 2857/154/246 | 2764/0/200 | 2781/162/246 | 3585/0/257 | 3433/0/256 | 3065/0/219 | 4128/0/275 |
| Goodness-off-fit on $F^{2}$ | 0.749 | 1.028 | 1.052 | 0.963 | 1.010 | 1.046 | 1.020 |
| Final $R$ indices $[(I)>2 \sigma(I)]$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0472, \\ w R 2 & =0.0486 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0494 \\ w R 2 & =0.1162 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0489, \\ w R 2 & =0.1271 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0461, \\ w R 2 & =0.1072 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0606, \\ w R 2 & =0.1255 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0632 \\ w R 2 & =0.1629 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0620, \\ w R 2 & =0.1162 \end{aligned}$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.2148, \\ w R 2 & =0.0749 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0816, \\ w R 2 & =0.1361 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R 1=0.0676, \\ w R 2=0.1422 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R 1=0.0851, \\ w R 2=0.1267 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.1493, \\ w R 2 & =0.11618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.0888, \\ w R 2 & =0.1831 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R 1 & =0.1574, \\ w R 2 & =0.1945 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole (e/A ${ }^{-3}$ ) | 0.168 and -0.141 | 0.140 and -0.171 | 0.156 and -0.227 | 0.279 and -0.258 | 0.195 and -0.185 | 0.435 and -0.190 | 0.305 and -0.160 |

Table 2. Geometry of the hydrogen bonding interactions $\left({ }^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$ for compounds 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 14.

| Compound | D-H $\cdots$ A | D-H$0.930(5)$ |  |  | D-H $\cdots$ A | Symmetry Code |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C3-H3 •••O3 |  | 2.790(2) | 3.486(2) | 132.5(4) | $-x+1$ | $+y+1 / 2$, | $-z+1 / 2$ |
| 7 | C9-H9•••O3 | 0.930(5) | 2.749(1) | 3.463(2) | 134.3(4) | $-x+1$ | $+y+1 / 2$ | $-z+1 / 2$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 \bullet \bullet \bullet \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.930(5) | 2.902(3) | 3.571(6) | 130.0(3) | $x-1 / 2$ | $-y+1 / 2+1$ | $-z$ |
|  | C17-H17•••O1 | 0.931(11) | 2.740(3) | $3.356(12)$ | 124.5(7) | $x+1$ | +y | +z |
| 8 | C2-H2•••O2 | 0.930(2) | 2.735(2) | 3.665(2) | 179.9(1) | $x$ | $+y-1$ | +z |
|  | C9-H9•••O2 | 0.929(2) | 2.957(2) | 3.590 (3) | 126.7(2) | $x$ | $-y+1 / 2$ | $+z+1 / 2$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.931(2) | 2.761(2) | 3.543(2) | 142.1(1) | $x$ | $-y+1 / 2+1$ | $+z-1 / 2$ |
| 9 | C5-H5 •••O3 | 0.930(2) | 2.672(5) | $3.539(5)$ | 155.3(2) | $-x$ | $+y-1 / 2$ | $-z+1 / 2$ |
|  | C3-H3 •••O3 | 0.930(2) | 2.719(4) | 3.588(4) | 155.8(1) | $-x$ | $+y-1 / 2$ | $-z+1 / 2$ |
|  | C12-H12•••O2 | 0.930(2) | 2.634(2) | 3.554(3) | 169.9(1) | $-x$ | $+y-1 / 2$ | $-z+1 / 2$ |
|  | C17-H17•••O2 | 0.930(3) | 2.605(1) | 3.534(3) | 177.2(2) | $-x$ | $+y-1 / 2$ | $-z+1 / 2$ |
| 10 | C10-H10A•••O2 | 0.981(2) | 2.597(1) | 3.124(2) | 113.8(1) | $-x+2$ | $-y+2$ | -z |
|  | C12-H12A •••O6 | 0.980(2) | 2.661(2) | 3.414(3) | 133.9(2) | $x-1$ | $-y+1 / 2+1$ | $z-1 / 2$ |
|  | C17-H17•••O6 | 0.951(2) | 2.410(2) | 3.183(3) | 138.2(1) | $-x+2$ | $+y+1 / 2$ | $-z+1 / 2$ |
|  | C20-H20•••O5 | 0.951(2) | 2.484(2) | 3.246(3) | 137.1(2) | $-x+2$ | $+y+1 / 2$ | $-z+1 / 2$ |
| 12 | C18-H18•••O1 | 0.930(3) | 2.358(2) | 2.846(4) | 112.5(2) | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
|  | C5-H5 •••O6 | 0.931(3) | 2.376(2) | 3.267(4) | 160.2(2) | $-x+1$ | $-y$ | $-z+1$ |
|  | C20-H20•••O1 | 0.929(3) | 2.714(3) | 3.431(4) | 134.7(2) | $-x+1$ | -y | $-z+1$ |
|  | C12-H12A•••O3 | 0.961(3) | 2.680(2) | 3.531(4) | 147.9(2) | $-x+2$ | $+y+1 / 2$ | $z+1 / 2+2$ |
|  | C12-H12B•••O5 | 0.959(3) | 2.429(2) | 3.372(4) | 167.6(2) | $-x+2$ | $+y+1 / 2$ | $z+1 / 2+2$ |
| 13 | C6-H6•••O1 | 0.930(3) | 2.417(2) | $3.328(4)$ | 166.0(2) | $-x$ | $-y+1$ | -z |
|  | C11-H11B•••O4 | 0.960(3) | 2.825(2) | 3.475(4) | 125.8(2) | $x-1$ | $+y$ | +z |
|  | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{H} 18 \bullet \bullet \bullet \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.929(2) | 2.718(2) | 3.332(3) | 124.3(1) | $-x$ | -y | $-z+1$ |
|  | C19-H19•••O2 | 0.930(4) | 2.846(2) | 3.397(4) | 119.1(2) | $-x$ | $-y$ | $-z+1$ |
| 14 | C13-H13•••O11 | 0.929(3) | $2.496(3)$ | 3.413(4) | 169.2(2) 1 | $-x+1$ | $-y+1$ | $-z$ |
|  | C5-H5 •••O20 | 0.930(3) | 2.581(3) | 3.504(4) | 172.0(2) 2 | $-x+1$ | $-y+1$ | $-z+1$ |
|  | C25-H25•••O20 | $0.931(3)$ | 2.600(2) | 3.499(4) | 162.6(2) 2 | $-x+1$ | $-y+1$ | $-z+1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \bullet \bullet \bullet \mathrm{O} 22$ | 0.930(3) | 2.672(2) | 3.485(4) | 146.3(2) 4 | $-x$ | $-y+1$ | -z |
|  | C23-H23C•••O18 | 0.960(4) | 2.550(2) | 3.475(4) | 161.9(2) 5 | $-x+1$ | +y | +z |

In the molecular structures of $\mathbf{7 , 8 , 9 , 1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}$, and $\mathbf{1 4}$, the least-squares plane calculation shows that the dihedral angles between the two benzene rings are $80.7(1)^{\circ}, 11.9(7)^{\circ}, 61.6(5)^{\circ}, 79.7(6)^{\circ}, 38.7(1)^{\circ}$, $87.2(1)^{\circ}$, and $75.2(2)^{\circ}$, respectively. The dihedral angles between the C-phenyl-ring and -O-phenyl ring and the central moiety for structures $7,8,9,10,12,13$, and 14 are $3.2(1)^{\circ}$ and $77.6(1)^{\circ}, 37.8(1)^{\circ}$ and $48.4(1)^{\circ}, 2.2(1)^{\circ}$ and $63.3(1)^{\circ}, 2.9(1)^{\circ}$ and $81.0(1)^{\circ}, 13.2(1)^{\circ}$ and $25.8(2)^{\circ}, 3.2(1)^{\circ}$ and $88.2(1)^{\circ}$, and $6.4(1)^{\circ}$, and $77.5(1)^{\circ}$, respectively. This clearly shows that in structures $\mathbf{7 , 9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 3}$, and 14 , the -C -phenyl is coplanar with the central moiety.

This result agrees with the dihedral angle of $8.2^{\circ}$ between the two benzene rings in the structure of 1,7-bis(4-ethyloxy-3-methoxy-phenyl)-1,6-heptadiene-3,5-dione [17]. In contrast, the dihedral angle between the-O-phenyl ring and the central moiety varies from $63.3^{\circ}$ to $88.2^{\circ}$. These features are shown in previous reports with $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aromatic ester compounds [18-22], where aromatic, double bond, and ester groups are in a planar configuration and the substituents bonded to ester groups show some deviation. For structures 8 and 12 the dihedral angles show no significant difference.

### 2.3. Cytotoxicity and Antioxidant Activity

Cytotoxic activity of all synthesized compounds was first measured in healthy and cancerous cell lines. None of the compounds injured healthy cells; however, only compounds 7, 8, 9, and 10 showed potential cytotoxic activity. Compounds 7, 8, and 10 (ortho, meta, and ortho substituents, respectively) had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values similar to curcumin and displayed better anticancer activity against K562, MCF-7, and SKLU-1 cells than compound 9 (Table 3). These results agree with a previous study of the cytotoxicity of dehydrozingerone derivatives, which reported that compounds with hydroxyl at the ortho position, and ketone and phenyl at the C-3 and C-4 positions, respectively, are necessary for optimal cytotoxic activity [8]. The presence of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated systems, which has previously been described as an enhancer of cytotoxic activity, may also account for the biological effect of retro-curcuminoids. The ketone form in particular enhances toxicity [23], and the molecules we
synthesized have double $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated systems. The cytotoxic specificity of these new derivatives of curcumin and dehydrozingerone is related to precise differences in chemical structure, which could form the basis of future studies of the anticancer properties of retro-curcuminoids.

Table 3. Cytotoxicity screening of retro-curcuminoids 7, 8, 9, and 10.

|  | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ on Cell Line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retro-Curcuminoid | K562 | MCF-7 | SKLU-1 |  |
| Curcumin | $9.75 \pm 0.6$ | $10.43 \pm 1.8$ | $7.78 \pm 0.64$ |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $10.74 \pm 0.5$ | $13.19 \pm 1.4$ | $11.59 \pm 1.2$ |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $12.8 \pm 1.9^{*}$ | $18.68 \pm 2.5$ | $12.85 \pm 0.9$ |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $28.15 \pm 2.5^{*}$ | $63.46 \pm 10.8^{*}$ | $63.81 \pm 2.7^{*}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $8.60 \pm 0.44$ | $12.01 \pm 0.62$ | $8.07 \pm 0.55$ |  |

Data are represented as mean $\pm$ standard error of mean (SEM). * $p<0.01$ compared to curcumin. K562: leukemia adenocarcinoma cells, HCT-15: human colon cancer cells, MCF-7: human mammary adenocarcinoma cells, and SKLU-1: human lung adenocarcinoma cells.

The effect on lipid peroxidation by compounds $7,8,9$, and 10 was tested on rat brain, but only retro-curcuminoid 7 showed a high degree of inhibition. The unique retro-curcuminoid with antioxidant activity in vitro was compound 10, as shown in Table 4. In contrast with the reported high antioxidant activity of curcumin and derivatives [1], the retro-curcuminoids described here had no important prophylactic effect on the oxidative process, probably because of the structural occupation of phenyl groups and the loss of free hydroxyls, chemical groups related to activity against free radicals. Preliminary analysis of antioxidant activity in vitro by DPPH assay did not show antioxidant properties of any of the retro-curcuminoids. These data suggest that changes in the chemical structure of these compounds decrease antioxidant activity, but enhance their anticancer properties.

Table 4. Inhibition of lipid peroxidation of retro-curcuminoids 7, 8, 9, and 10 in rat brain.

| Retro-Curcuminoid | Concentration $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | \% Inhibition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 50 | $4.33 \pm 1.06^{*}$ |
|  | 5 | $1.97 \pm 0.77^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 50 | $1.77 \pm 0.22^{*}$ |
|  | 5 | $0.27 \pm 0.06^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 50 | $3.30 \pm 0.78^{*}$ |
|  | 5 | $3.19 \pm 0.53^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 50 | $96.18 \pm 6.53$ |
|  | 5 | $14.81 \pm 2.33$ |

Data are represented as mean $\pm$ standard error of mean (SEM). ${ }^{*} p<0.01$ compared to $\alpha$-tocopherol.

## 3. Experimental Section

### 3.1. General Information

All chemicals were reagent grade and were used as received. Solvents were purified by standard methods [24].

### 3.2. Physical Measurements

Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal IA9100 digital melting point apparatus (Bibby Scientific Limited, Staffordshire, UK) and are uncorrected. IR absorption spectra were recorded in the $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range as KBr pellets on a Perkin Elmer 283-B spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a Bruker 500 MHz (Billerica, MA, USA) or a 600 MHz NMR Agilent technologies spectrometer equipped with a DD2 Oneprobe (Agilent

Technology, Santa Clara, CA, USA), using tetramethylasilane (TMS) as internal reference. NMR spectra were processed with MESTRENOVA version 10.0.2 and can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

### 3.3. Synthetic Procedures

Compounds 1 and 2 were prepared in high yield from acetylated ferulic or cinnamic acid with thionyl chloride in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ containing a catalytic amount of dimethylformamide (DMF), and refluxed for 4 h according to the synthetic route reported [25,26]. The precursors 3,4,5, and 6 were obtained using a Claisen-Schmidt reaction with acetone and corresponding aldehyde in equimolar amounts, and sodium hydroxide as a powdered base, in accordance with a published synthetic method [27].

## Retro-Curcuminoids 7-14

A solution of the corresponding acid chloride ( $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ ) ( 1.0 eq in anhydrous THF) was added to a solution of DHZ analog (3-5) or DHZ (6) (1.0 eq in anhydrous THF) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ containing triethylamine (1.2 eq). The reaction mixture was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the disappearance of the starting material was observed by TLC. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting precipitate was treated with adequate solvents to give the corresponding crystalline product.

2-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl cinnamate (7). Obtained as light green needles From ethyl ether $/ n$-hexane ( $90 \%$ ), m.p. $138.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dddd}, J=7.9,7.4,1.2,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,1.3,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (dd, $J=18.8,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 198.03,165.02,151.61,149.65$, $147.59,136.58,133.92,131.31,130.99,129.04,128.87,128.45,127.53,127.34,126.35,123.19,116.38,27.62$. IR; $3060.72 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3030.22 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1728.16 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1634.69 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1600.59 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1133.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 984.56 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. $m / z\left[293^{+}\right]$.

3-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl cinnamate (8). Light yellow needless from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n$-hexane (90\%), m.p. $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 7.53-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 198.10,165.16,151.25,147.04,142.25,136.05,134.02$, $130.84,130.59,129.95,129.06,129.02,128.34,128.26,127.92,125.75,123.66,121.06,116.90,27.67$. IR; $3052.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2923.64 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1717.09 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1663.80 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1631.63 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1310.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1257.60 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1150.97 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}, 977.46 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{m} / z\left[293^{+}\right]$.
4-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl cinnamate (9). Colorless needles from EtOAc/n-hexane (90\%), m.p. $139.15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 198.17,164.96,162.43,152.44,148.60,147.08$, $142.29,133.98,133.69,132.03,131.23,130.84,129.37,129.03,128.99,128.54,128.31,127.13,122.25,116.84$, $116.73,27.56$. IR; $3062.07 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3027.85 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2926.10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1726.81 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1662.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1629.55 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1214.85 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1140.63 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 978.60 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left[293^{+}\right]$.

2-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl(E)-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acrylate (10). Light green needles from ethyl ether/n-hexane ( $90 \%$ ), m.p. $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 198.02, 168.65, $164.89,151.54,149.58,146.81,142.04,136.49,132.80,131.32,128.82,127.52,127.30,126.39,123.41,123.15$, $121.69,116.55,111.53,55.97,27.69,20.61$. IR; $3072.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3011.55 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2956.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1759.78 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1733.54 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1604.83 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1258.74 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1201.97 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1120.02 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 984.99 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . m / z\left[381^{+}\right]$.

3-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl(E)-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acrylate (11). Colorless needles from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /ethyl ether/n-hexane (90\%), m.p. $101.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.84$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 198.07,168.67,164.98,151.50,151.17,146.24,142.18,141.89,136.06,132.93$, $129.94,127.91,125.77,123.60,123.38,121.50,121.00,117.07,111.48,55.92,27.64,20.60 . \mathrm{IR} ; 3070.16 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $2945.64 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1762.35 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1720.60 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1611.18 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1237.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1191.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1150.22 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $975.91 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . m / z\left[381^{+}\right]$.

4-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl(E)-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acrylate (12). Colorless needles from EtOAc/n-hexane ( $88 \%$ ), m.p. $172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 198.11,168.64,164.78,152.34,151.47,146.29,142.21,141.89,132.89,132.08,129.37,127.16$, $123.38,122.21,121.50,117.02,111.46,55.93,27.58,20.61$. IR; $3066.07 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2982.16 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1757.33 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1725.80 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1632.44 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1585.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1256.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1203.46 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1166.33 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1119.10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1012.64 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 974.26 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . m / z\left[381^{+}\right]$.

2-Methoxy-4-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl cinnamate (13). Obtained as yellow needles from EtOAc/nhexane ( $80 \%$ ), m.p. $132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (dd, $J=6.6,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (dd, $J=16.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 198.10,164.59$, $151.59,146.95,145.68,143.71,142.67,141.69,134.06,133.29,130.71,128.92,128.29,127.23,123.40,121.49$, $116.57,111.30,55.92,27.46$. IR; $3069.35 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3012.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2933.29 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1733.69 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1254.52 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1122.37 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1030.06 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 971.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Calculated mass 322 (not observed).

2-Methoxy-4-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl(E)-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acrylate (14). Colorless needles from ethyl ether $/ n$-hexane ( $80 \%$ ), m.p. $181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=20.63,27.30,27.60,55.90,111.35,116.70,121.50,123.40,133.4,141.70,142.76,146.29,151.56,164.60$, 168.6, 198.3. IR; $3079.23 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3010.78 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1758.89 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1722.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1196.83 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1152.87 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1120.88 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1027.43 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 978.07 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{m} / z\left[411^{+}\right]$.

### 3.4. Crystallography

The X-ray data for $\mathbf{1 4}$ was collected by using an Agilent-Gemini-Atlas area-detector diffractometer with $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(1.54184 \AA$ ) radiation at room temperature ( 293 K ). The data reduction was carried out using CrysAlisPro (v38.43), and the absorption corrections were applied using the CrysAlisPro analytical method [28]. Crystallographic data, together with refinement details for compound 14, are deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC) (No. 1056387). The X-ray data for compounds 7, $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}, 10,12$, and 13 were collected using a Bruker Smart APEX AXS CCD area detector with a graphite monochromator and Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ at room temperature in scan mode. Collected data were reduced using SAINT [29] and empirical absorption corrections were performed using SADABS software [29,30]. Crystallographic data together with refinement details are summarized in Table 1.

All reflections were defined based on $F^{2}$. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, and conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors (gt), etc., and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$-factors based on all data will be even larger. The crystallographic formulas for $R_{\mathrm{int}}, R_{1}$, $w \mathrm{R}_{2}$ and $S$ were used for refinement of structures.

$$
R_{\mathrm{int}}=\frac{\sum\left|F_{o}^{2}-\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)\right|}{\sum F_{o}^{2}}, R_{1}=\frac{\sum\left\|F_{o}|-| F_{c}\right\|}{\sum\left|F_{o}\right|}
$$

$$
w R_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\sum w\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)^{2}}}, S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}{m-n}}
$$

The hydrogen atoms attached to the carbon atoms were placed in their calculated positions and included in the isotropic refinement using the riding model with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA(-\mathrm{CH})$ or $0.96 \AA\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ $\AA$ with $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ and $1.5 \mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$.

Figure 1 shows the molecular structures and atom-labeling scheme for compounds 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 14. All structures were drawn with $50 \%$ displacements using Mercury 3.7 software for Windows [31]. The geometry of the molecules was calculated using PARST software [32].

Atomic coordinates and displacement parameters, and bond distances and bond angles for all seven retro-curcuminoids are given as Supplementary Materials.

### 3.5. Cell Lines, Culture Medium, and Cytotoxicity Assay

All synthetized compounds and precursors DHZ and ferulic acid, were initially screened in vitro at doses of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ against human polymorphonuclear and cancer cell lines: K562 (human chronic myelogenous leukemia), MCF-7 (human mammary adenocarcinoma), and SKLU-1 (human lung adenocarcinoma). No cytotoxic activity was detected for DHZ and ferulic acid, although some activity has been reported for these compounds with other cell lines. [12-16]. After selection by preliminary screening, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of compounds $\mathbf{7 , 8} \mathbf{8} 9$, and 10 were calculated in triplicate following standard protocols. Clearly, the ester groups in the retro-curcuminoids play an important role in the exertion of cytotoxic activity in vitro. Cell lines were supplied by U.S. National Cancer Institute (NCI). The human tumor cytotoxicity was determined using the protein-binding dye sulforhodamine B (SRB) in microculture assay to measure cell growth, as described in the protocols established by the NCI [33]. The cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 10,000 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin G sodium, $10,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin sulfate, $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin B (Invitrogen/Gibco ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and $1 \%$ non-essential amino acids (Gibco). They were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The viability of the cells used in the experiments exceeded $95 \%$ as determined with trypan blue.

Cytotoxicity after treatment with the test compounds of the normal and tumor cells was determined using the protein-binding dye sulforhodamine B (SRB) in microculture assay to measure cell growth, as described in a previous study [34]. The cells were removed from the tissue culture flasks by treatment with trypsin and diluted with fresh media. From these cell suspensions, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, containing 5000-10,000 cells per well, was pipetted into 96 -well microtiter plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA, USA) and the material was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. Subsequently, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution of the compound obtained by diluting the stocks was added to each well. The cultures were exposed for 48 h to the compound at concentrations of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. After the incubation period, cells were fixed to the plastic substratum by the addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cold $50 \%$ aqueous trichloroacetic acid. The plates were incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , washed with tap $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and air-dried. The trichloroacetic-acid-fixed cells were stained by the addition of $0.4 \%$ SRB. Free SRB solution was removed by washing with $1 \%$ aqueous acetic acid. The plates were air-dried, and the bound dye was solubilized by the addition of 10 mM unbuffered Tris base ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The plates were placed on a shaker for 10 min , and the absorption was determined at 515 nm using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA).

### 3.6. Inhibition of Lipid Peroxidation on Rat Brain

Animals. Adult male Wistar rats (200-250 g) were provided by the Instituto de Fisiología Celular, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). Procedures and care of animals were conducted
in conformity with the Mexican Official Norm for Animal Care and Handling NOM-062-ZOO-1999. They were maintained at $23 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a $12 / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ light-dark cycle with free access to food and water.

Rat Brain Homogenate Preparation. Animal sacrifice was carried out avoiding unnecessary pain. Rats were sacrificed with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cerebral tissue (whole brain), was rapidly dissected and homogenized in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution ( 0.2 g of $\mathrm{KCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 8 \mathrm{~g}$ of NaCl , and 2.16 g of $\mathrm{NaHPO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{pH}$ adjusted to 7.4 ) as described elsewhere $[35,36]$ to produce a $1 / 10(w / v)$ homogenate. The homogenate was then centrifuged for 10 min at 800 rcf (relative centrifugal field) to yield a pellet that was discarded. The supernatant protein content was measured using Folin and Ciocalteu's phenol reagent [37] and adjusted with PBS at 2.666 mg of protein $/ \mathrm{mL}$.

Induction of lipid peroxidation and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) quantification. As an index of lipid peroxidation, TBARS levels were measured using rat brain homogenates according to the method described by Ng and co-workers [38], with some modifications. Supernatant ( $375 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EDTA and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each sample concentration dissolved in DMSO ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO for control group) and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Lipid peroxidation was started adding $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of freshly prepared $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ solution (final concentration $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The TBARS content was determined as described by Ohkawa and co-workers [39], with some modifications. $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TBA reagent ( $1 \%$ 2-thiobarbituric acid in 0.05 N NaOH and $30 \%$ trichloroacetic acid, in 1:1 proportion) was added to each tube and the final suspension was cooled on ice for 10 min , centrifuged at 13,400 rcf for 5 min and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath for 30 min . After cooling at room temperature, the absorbance of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of supernatant was measured at $\lambda=540 \mathrm{~nm}$ in a UltraMicroplate (Synergy/HT BIOTEK Instrument, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). The concentration of TBARS was calculated by interpolation on a standard curve of tetra-methoxypropane (TMP) as a precursor of MDA [40]. Results were expressed as nmoles of TBARS per mg of protein. The inhibition ratio (IR [\%]) was calculated using the formula $I R=(C-E) \times 100 / C$, where $C$ is the control absorbance and E is the sample absorbance. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and $\alpha$-tocopherol were used as positive standards. All data were represented as mean $\pm$ standard error (SEM). Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's test for comparison against control. Values of $p \leq 0.05\left(^{*}\right)$ and $p \leq 0.01\left(^{* *}\right)$ were considered statistically significant.

## 4. Conclusions

Seven new retro-curcuminoids were synthesized with a chemical modification strategy in good yield, and NMR data confirmed the formation of the ester in ortho, meta, and para phenol positions. The crystalline descriptions indicate that the compounds are formed by two aromatic rings (phenyl-C-; phenyl-O-) connected by a moiety C(sp2)-C(sp2)-C(sp2)-C(sp2)-O(sp3)-C(sp2) chain of atoms, and -C-phenyl is coplanar with the central moiety. Retro-curcuminoids with ortho substituents had the highest cytotoxic activity. Only 2-((E)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl)phenyl(E)-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acrylate showed relevant antioxidant activity. Comparison of the cytotoxicity of retro-curcuminoids with their synthetic precursors allows us to postulate that the ester function plays an important role in biological activity in vitro. The results of this study suggest DHZ-based derivatives in the ortho position as a new template for future anticancer and antioxidant studies of this family of compounds.
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## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:
DHZ Dehydrozingerone
TPA 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate
$\mathrm{IC}_{50} \quad$ Inhibitory Concentration 50

## Appendix A

CCDC 1451617, 1451555, 1451556, 1451621, 1451557, and 1452007 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}(7), \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}(8), \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}(9), \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}(10), \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (12), and $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (13). These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44-1223-336-033; or E-Mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
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